1	
2	
3	
4	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	
8	MICHAEL CLOYD, No. C 09-019 SI (pr)
9	Petitioner, ORDER OF TRANSFER
10	v.
11	JAMES D. HARTLEY, warden,
12	Respondent.
13	
14	Petitioner has filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus to challenge the denial of parole
15	suitability. Petitioner was convicted in Alameda County and is incarcerated at the Avenal State
16	Prison in Kings County. Kings County is within the venue of the Eastern District of California
17	Venue is proper in a habeas action in either the district of confinement or the district of convic-
18	tion, 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d); however, the district of confinement is the preferable forum to review
19	the execution of a sentence, such as a parole denial claim. See Habeas L.R. 2254-3(a); Dunne
20	v. Henman, 875 F.2d 244, 249 (9th Cir. 1989). Because petitioner is confined in the Eastern
21	District of California and challenging the execution of his sentence, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §
22	1404(a) and Habeas L.R. 2254-3(b), and in the interests of justice, this action is
23	TRANSFERRED to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. The
24	clerk shall transfer this matter forthwith.
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: May 13, 2009

26

27

28

SUSAN ILLSTON United States District Judge